

## How to Shorten Trials, a Reading List

© 2017 Judge Michael Mattice, Superior Court of California for the County of Solano<sup>1</sup>

American Bar Association, *Principles for Juries & Jury Trials* ("Principle 12" re "Conducting a Jury Trial") (rev. 2016), Chicago, IL.

[http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/american\\_jury/2016\\_jury\\_principles.authcheckdam.pdf](http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/american_jury/2016_jury_principles.authcheckdam.pdf). See also, commentary on "Principle 12" (from 2005 version) at [http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/american\\_jury/final\\_commentary\\_july\\_1205.authcheckdam.pdf](http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/administrative/american_jury/final_commentary_july_1205.authcheckdam.pdf), pp. 89/142 through 91/142.

Brown, Hon. R.S., *Juxtaposed Expert Testimony ["JET"]*: *A New Way to Hear from the Experts* (2012), in *Forum*, Vol. 42, No. 6, Nov./Dec. 2012, pp. 12-15, Sacramento, CA: CAOC. (JET testimony described.) See, <http://jet-trials.org/>, (description, forms, video examples of JET).

California Codes, <http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes.xhtml>

- *Code of Civil Procedure* ("CCP"):

§§ 128 subd. (a) and 187 (judicial powers to control litigation processes).

§ 437c(t) (summary adjudication of non-dispositive but trial-shortening issue or claim).

§§ 630.01-630.11 (voluntary expedited jury trials ("EJTs")).

§§ 630.20-630.30 (mandatory EJTs in limited civil cases, eff. July 1, 2016).

- *Evidence Code*,

§ 320 (duties of judges to regulate the order of proof).

§ 352 (discretion to exclude evidence based upon weighing listed factors).

§ 765 (duties of judges to control examination of witnesses).

§§ 1520—1523 (secondary evidence rule; see also, Imwinkelried, et al., *infra* in this list)

- *Penal Code*, § 1044 (duties of judges in criminal trials, including expeditiousness).

- *Probate Code*:

§ 800 (in probate cases, court has full powers of superior court, including CCP § 128).

§ 1000 (civil rules of practice and CCP apply when Probate Code is silent).

§ 4520(b) (in power of attorney probate cases, court has full powers of superior court).

§ 17001 (in trust cases in probate, court has full powers of superior court).

§ 17206 (broad powers to handle petitions re internal affairs of a trust).

*California Crane School, Inc. v. National Commission for Certification of Crane Operators* (2014) 226 Cal.App.4th 12, 17-22 (affirms pretrial order limiting length of a civil jury trial, and an in-trial order denying rebuttal opportunity as "late", consistent with pretrial order).

<http://www.courts.ca.gov/opinions/archive/F063727.PDF>

Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, *Fact Sheet: Back-to-Back Experts* (2016), at Project's web site <http://civiljuryproject.law.nyu.edu/>, select "Research", then "Trial Innovations."

<http://civiljuryproject.law.nyu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Exh-2-Back-to-Back-Experts-Fact-Sheet-4.10.16.pdf>

---

<sup>1</sup> The California Judicial Council and its staff, CJER, the California Judges' Association, the National Judicial College, the National Center for State Courts, and the NYU School of Law Civil Jury Project are all hereby authorized to reproduce, electronically distribute, or otherwise use this document in any way any of them sees fit to support judicial education and to further the efficient administration of justice. Others seeking permission should contact Judge Mattice at [mcmattice@solano.courts.ca.gov](mailto:mcmattice@solano.courts.ca.gov).

Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, *Fact Sheet: Limiting Length of Trials* (2016), at Project's web site <http://civiljuryproject.law.nyu.edu/>, select "Research", then "Trial Innovations." <http://civiljuryproject.law.nyu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Exh.-2-Juror-Fact-Sheet-Time-Limits.pdf>

*Clement v. Alegre* (2009) 177 Cal.App.4th 1277, 1281-1291 (courts' expectations re effective meeting and conferring, in discovery; can easily apply as well to pretrial and trial conduct).

Diamond, S.S., *How Jurors Deal With Expert Testimony and How Judges Can Help* (2008), in *Journal of Law and Policy*, Vol. 16, Issue 1, Article 4, pp. 47-67, Brooklyn, NY: Brooklyn Law School. <http://brooklynworks.brooklaw.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1164&context=jlpx>

Edmond, G., *Merton and the Hot Tub: Scientific Conventions and Expert Evidence in Australian Civil Procedure* (2009) 72 *Law and Contemporary Problems* 159-190 (Winter 2009), Durham, NC: Duke University School of Law. (Critical evaluation of concurrent expert testimony.) <http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/lcp/vol72/iss1/9>

Fisher, Daniel, *Litigator Pushes Mutual-Disarmament Pacts to Cut Costs* (2012) *Forbes*, Jersey City, NJ. <http://www.forbes.com/sites/danielfisher/2012/03/07/litigator-pushes-mutual-disarmament-pacts-to-cut-costs/#655423dd6df4>

Hannaford-Agor, P. and N.L. Waters, *Estimating the Cost of Civil Litigation* (2013), in *Caseload Highlights*, Vol. 20, No. 1, Jan. 2013, pp. 1-8. Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. [http://www.courtstatistics.org/~media/Microsites/Files/CSP/DATA%20PDF/CSPH\\_online2.ashx](http://www.courtstatistics.org/~media/Microsites/Files/CSP/DATA%20PDF/CSPH_online2.ashx). See related charts "Hours Expended by Attorneys, Paralegals and Expert Witnesses..." [http://www.ncsc.org/~media/Microsites/Files/CSP/DATA%20PDF/csph\\_2013\\_tablesv1.ashx](http://www.ncsc.org/~media/Microsites/Files/CSP/DATA%20PDF/csph_2013_tablesv1.ashx)

Hannaford-Agor, P., *Measuring the Cost of Civil Litigation: Findings from a Survey of Trial Lawyers* (2013), in *ABOTA's Voir Dire*, Spring, 2013, pp. 22-28: Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. <http://www.ncsc.org/~media/Microsites/Files/Civil%20Justice/Measuring%20the%20cost%20of%20civil%20litigation.ashx>

Imwinkelried, E.J., M.A. Mendez and B.S. Gaal, *Document Summaries in Court* (2012), in *California Lawyer* (May 15, 2012), vol. 67, pp. 37-39, San Francisco, CA: State Bar of Calif.; or <https://www.dailyjournal.com/mcle.cfm?ref=article&eid=922107&evid=1&qVersionID=376&qTypeID=7&qSPCtypeID=17&qcatid=20>; (MCLE credit); or <http://law.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/default/files/publication/270513/doc/slspublic/Document%20Summaries%20in%20Court.pdf>; or <https://law.stanford.edu/>, find "Publications" under "Research".

*In re Welding Fume Prods. Liab. Litig.*, No. 03-17000, slip op. at pp. 44-45 and fn.39 (N.D. Ohio Aug. 8, 2005) (Dkt. No. 1353). (Concurrent expert testimony applied by trial court in threshold hearing with opposing experts, per *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* (1993) 509 U.S. 579. [https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCOURTS-ohnd-1\\_03-cv-17000/pdf/USCOURTS-ohnd-1\\_03-cv-17000-0.pdf](https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCOURTS-ohnd-1_03-cv-17000/pdf/USCOURTS-ohnd-1_03-cv-17000-0.pdf)

Jones Day (Emmerig, J., J.D. Hanify and M.Legg), *Room in American Courts for an Australian Hot Tub?* (April 2013), Boston, MA: Jones Day. (Concurrent expert testimony described.) [www.mondaq.com/unitedstates/x/237192/court+procedure/Room+In+American+Courts+For+An+Australian+Hot+Tub](http://www.mondaq.com/unitedstates/x/237192/court+procedure/Room+In+American+Courts+For+An+Australian+Hot+Tub); or [www.jonesday.com/room\\_in\\_american\\_courts/#](http://www.jonesday.com/room_in_american_courts/#)

Kabateck, B. and D. Scott, *Just Try It!* (2013), in Forum, Vol. 43, No. 6, Nov./Dec. 2013, pp. 12-15, Sacramento, CA: CAOC. <https://www.caoc.org/index.cfm?pg=ArtIndex13>, find by month and volume number.

Kloczko, J., *Time Limits, Jury Sensitivity Discussed to Shorten Trials* (2016), in Daily Journal, Oct. 17, 2016 (Editorial ID: 951603), p. 1. <http://www.dailyjournal.com/subscriber/SubMain.cfm> ("Search" tab, insert the ID number only).

Martin, L.K., *Seven Stipulations to Streamline Your Hearing—Without Compromising Your Client* (2013), in ACFLS Family Law Specialist, Summer 2013, No. 2, pp. 44-45, Sacramento, CA, California Association of Certified Family Law Specialists. [https://www.acfls.org/?page=archived\\_newsletters](https://www.acfls.org/?page=archived_newsletters)

Mattice, Hon. M., *Can We Shorten This Trial?* (2014), in California Litigation, Vol. 27, No. 1, Spring 2014, pp. 24-27, San Francisco, CA: Litigation Section, State Bar of California. <http://www.calbar.ca.gov/Attorneys/Sections/Litigation/Publications/California-Litigation#v27n1>; or <https://www.manatt.com/Manatt/media/Documents/Articles/Cal-Lit-27-1-2014-screen1.pdf?ext=.pdf> (pp. 24-27)

Mattice, Hon. M., *Just Try It – Efficiently!* (2014), in Forum, Vol. 44, No. 5, Sep./Oct. 2014, pp. 28-29, Sacramento, CA: CAOC. <https://www.caoc.org/index.cfm?pg=ArtIndex14>, find by month and volume number.

Robinson, M.P., Jr., and B. Broillet, *Saving the Civil Jury Trial* (2016), in Daily Journal, Oct. 28, 2016 (Editorial ID: 951826), p. 1. <http://www.dailyjournal.com/subscriber/SubMain.cfm> ("Search" tab, insert the ID number only).

Robinson, M.P., Jr., *The Death of the Civil Jury Trial* (2014), in Daily Journal, May 8, 2014 (Editorial ID: 935067), p. 1. <http://www.dailyjournal.com/subscriber/SubMain.cfm> ("Search" tab, insert the ID number only).

Sipes, D., M. Oram, M. Thornton, D. Valluzzi and R. Duizend, *On Trial: The Length of Civil and Criminal Trials* (1988), Williamsburg, VA: NCSC. ISBN: 0896560864. <http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/ctadmin/id/40>

State Bar of Calif., *California Attorney Guidelines of Civility and Professionalism* (2007). <http://www.calbar.ca.gov/Attorneys/Conduct-Discipline/Ethics/Attorney-Civility-and-Professionalism>, select [Attorney Guidelines of Civility and Professionalism \(Civility Toolbox\)](#).

Superior Court, Los Angeles, *Tools for Litigators* web site. Resources and forms for judicial officers and attorneys, see Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations link to [Stipulation and Orders - Motion in Limine](#); or <http://www.lacourt.org/division/civil/CI0037.aspx>

Susman, S.D. and T.M. Melsheimer, *Trial by Agreement: How Trial Lawyers Hold the Key to Improving Jury Trials in Civil Cases* (2013), Dallas, Texas, American Board of Trial Advocacy; reproduced with permission in Conference of Chief Justices Library. <http://ccj.ncsc.org/Civil/Resources/Library.aspx>, find sub-title "Trial", select link [Trial by Agreement: How Trial Lawyers Hold the Key to Improving Jury Trials in Civil Cases \(2013\)](#).

Susman, S.D., *Trial by Agreement: Agreements for Opposing Counsel* (web site with multiple document models, re trial and pretrial agreements, based on federal and/or Texas law, but easily adaptable to California and other states). <http://trialbyagreement.com/>

(Last rev. 9/28/2017)